

## Amargosa Land Trust

Comments submitted by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHMVR Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-5) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Failure by applicant to respond to any OHMVR Division comment of their preliminary application shall be cause for eliminating that item from the applicant's application.

If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for snow and/or winter activities, Applicants must ensure the activities and/or equipment requested are not and/or cannot be funded by the OHMVR Division Winter Program (commonly referred to as the Snow Grooming Program).

For proposed projects requesting grant funding for the maintenance of roads and/or trails, note that only roads and/or trails that allow "green sticker" off-highway vehicles are allowed to receive grant funding.

### General Evaluation Criteria

- #2 – Applicant must verify response.
- #3a&b – Applicant must verify response.
- #4 – Applicant must verify response.
- #5 – Applicant must verify response. The response is to be based on the Applicant's project performance, not the Land Managers.
- #12a&b – Applicant must verify responses.
- #14 – Applicant answered in error. Only Applicants with NO legal riding opportunities should respond to this question.

Restoration	G13-04-73-R01
<b>Project Description</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No comment.</li> </ul>	
<b>Project Cost Estimate</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Staff – “Education and Outreach Activities”, may not be an allowable cost under a restoration project. Applicant must provide additional information on the activities being proposed.</li> <li>• Staff – “Volunteers for Outreach Events &amp; C”, is not allowable cost under a restoration project and does not qualify as match.</li> <li>• Staff – “Restoration crew”, the quantity and rate appear reversed. Costs appear excessive; Applicant must provide detailed information on how restoration crew costs were determined.</li> <li>• Materials / Supplies – “signs”, costs appear excessive. Applicant must provide additional detail on how costs were determined.</li> </ul>	
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• #2 – Narrative does not support the selected items, the number of points do not appear to be correct. Applicant must review its selections and adjust the number of points being declared.</li> <li>• #3 – Applicant must provide a date for the reference document</li> <li>• #4 – Narrative does not support the selected items, “Best Management Practices,” Educational Signage” and “Identification of alternative OHV routes...”</li> <li>• #5 – Document appears to be outdated.</li> <li>• #8 – Narrative does not support the selection; Applicant must list each partner organization(s) separately and provide a detailed explanation for how each partner(s) will participate in the project.</li> <li>• #9 – Scientific and/or cultural studies are not being conducted on the project.</li> </ul>	